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Overview

• Support Vector Machines for Classification
  – Linear Discrimination
  – Nonlinear Discrimination

• SVM Mathematically

• Extensions

• Application in Drug Design

• Data Classification

• Kernel Functions
Definition

One of the excellent classification system based on a mathematical technique called convex optimization.

‘Support Vector Machine is a system for efficiently training linear learning machines in kernel-induced feature spaces, while respecting the insights of generalisation theory and exploiting optimisation theory.’

- AN INTRODUCTION TO SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINES (and other kernel-based learning methods)

- Kernel Methods for Pattern Analysis
  - John Shawe-Taylor & Nello Cristianini Cambridge University Press, 2004
Dot product (aka inner product)

\[ \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = |\mathbf{a}| |\mathbf{b}| \cos \theta \]

Recall: If the vectors are orthogonal, dot product is zero.

The scalar or dot product is, in some sense, a measure of similarity.
Decision function for binary classification

\[ f(x) \in \mathbb{R} \]

\[ f(x_i) \geq 0 \implies y_i = 1 \]
\[ f(x_i) < 0 \implies y_i = -1 \]
Support vector machines

• SVMs pick best separating hyper plane according to some criterion
  – e.g. maximum margin

• Training process is an optimisation

• Training set is effectively reduced to a relatively small number of support vectors

• Key words: optimization, kernels
Feature spaces

- We may separate data by mapping to a higher-dimensional feature space
  - The feature space may even have an infinite number of dimensions!

- We need not explicitly construct the new feature space
  - “Kernel trick”
    - Keeps the same computation time

- Key observation that optimization involves dot products
Kernels

• What are kernels?

\[ (Tf)(u) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} K(t,u) f(t) \, dt \]

• We may use Kernel functions to implicitly map to a new feature space

• Kernel functions: \( K(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R} \)

• In SVMs kernels preserve the inner product in the new feature space.
Examples of kernels

Linear: \( \langle x \cdot z \rangle \)

Polynomial (non-linear) \( P(\langle x \cdot z \rangle) \)

Gaussian (non-linear) \( \exp(-\|x - z\|^2 / \sigma^2) \)
Perceptron as linear separator

- Binary classification can be viewed as the task of separating classes in feature space:

\[ w^T x + b = 0 \]

\[ w^T x + b > 0 \]

\[ w^T x + b < 0 \]

\[ f(x) = \text{sign}(w^T x + b) \]
Which of the linear separators is optimal?
Best linear separator?
Best linear separator?

Tumor

Normal
Best linear separator? Not so…
Best linear separator? Possibly…
Find closest points in convex hulls (3D)/convex polygon (2D)
Plane (3D)/line(2D) to bisect closest points

\[ w^T x + b = 0 \]

\[ w = d - c \]
Classification margin

- Distance from example data to the separator is \( r = \frac{w^T x + b}{\|w\|} \)

- Data closest to the hyper plane are **support vectors**.

- **Margin** \( \rho \) of the separator is the width of separation between classes.
Maximum margin classification

- Maximize the margin (good according to intuition and theory).
- Implies that only support vectors are important; other training examples are ignorable.
Statistical learning theory

• Misclassification error and the function complexity bound generalization error (prediction).

• Maximizing margins minimizes complexity.

• “Eliminates” overfitting.

• Solution depends only on support vectors not number of attributes.
Margins and complexity

Skinny margin is more flexible thus more complex.
Margins and complexity

Fat margin is less complex.
Linear SVM

• Assuming all data is at distance larger than 1 from the hyperplane, the following two constraints follow for a training set \{ (x_i, y_i) \}

\[ w^T x_i + b \geq 1 \quad \text{if } y_i = 1 \]
\[ w^T x_i + b \leq -1 \quad \text{if } y_i = -1 \]

• For support vectors, the inequality becomes an equality; then, since each example’s distance from the

• hyperplane is \[ r = \frac{w^T x + b}{\|w\|} \] the margin is: \[ \rho = \frac{2}{\|w\|} \]
Linear SVM

We can formulate the problem:

Find \( w \) and \( b \) such that

\[
\rho = \frac{2}{\|w\|} \quad \text{is maximized and for all } \{(x_i, y_i)\}
\]

\[
w^T x_i + b \geq 1 \quad \text{if } y_i = 1; \quad w^T x_i + b \leq -1 \quad \text{if } y_i = -1
\]

into quadratic optimization formulation:

Find \( w \) and \( b \) such that

\[
\Phi(w) = \frac{1}{2} w^T w \quad \text{is minimized and for all } \{(x_i, y_i)\}
\]

\[
y_i (w^T x_i + b) \geq 1
\]
Solving the optimization problem

Find \( \mathbf{w} \) and \( b \) such that
\[
\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}
\]
is minimized and for all \( \{(\mathbf{x}_i,y_i)\} \)
\[y_i (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1\]

- Need to optimize a quadratic function subject to linear constraints.

- Quadratic optimization problems are a well-known class of mathematical programming problems, and many (rather intricate) algorithms exist for solving them.

- The solution involves constructing a dual problem where a Lagrange multiplier \( \alpha_i \) is associated with every constraint in the primary problem:

Find \( \alpha_1...\alpha_N \) such that
\[
Q(\alpha) = \sum \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum \sum \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j
\]
is maximized and
\[
(1) \quad \sum \alpha_i y_i = 0
\]
\[
(2) \quad \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha_i
\]
The quadratic optimization problem solution

- The solution has the form:

\[ w = \sum \alpha_i y_i x_i \quad b = y_k - w^T x_k \text{ for any } x_k \text{ such that } \alpha_k \neq 0 \]

- Each non-zero \( \alpha_i \) indicates that corresponding \( x_i \) is a support vector.
- Then the classifying function will have the form:

\[ f(x) = \sum \alpha_i y_i x_i^T x + b \]

- Notice that it relies on an \textbf{inner product} between the test point \( x \) and the support vectors \( x_i \) – we will return to this later!

- Also keep in mind that solving the optimization problem involved computing the inner products \( x_i^T x_j \) between all training points!
Soft margin classification

- What if the training set is not linearly separable?
- Slack variables $\xi_i$ can be added to allow misclassification of difficult or noisy examples.
Soft margin classification

• The old formulation:

Find \( w \) and \( b \) such that

\[
\Phi(w) = \frac{1}{2} w^T w \quad \text{is minimized and for all } \{(x_i, y_i)\}
\]

\[y_i (w^T x_i + b) \geq 1\]

• The new formulation incorporating slack variables:

Find \( w \) and \( b \) such that

\[
\Phi(w) = \frac{1}{2} w^T w + C \sum \xi_i \quad \text{is minimized and for all } \{(x_i, y_i)\}
\]

\[y_i (w^T x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i\]

• Parameter \( C \) can be viewed as a way to control overfitting.
Soft margin classification – solution

• The dual problem for soft margin classification:

Find $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_N$ such that

$$Q(\alpha) = \sum \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum \sum \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j$$

is maximized and

1. $\sum \alpha_i y_i = 0$
2. $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C$ for all $\alpha_i$

• Neither slack variables $\xi_i$ nor their Lagrange multipliers appear in the dual problem!

• Again, $x_i$ with non-zero $\alpha_i$ will be support vectors.

• Solution to the dual problem is:

$$w = \sum \alpha_i y_i x_i$$
$$b = y_k (1 - \xi_k) - w^T x_k$$

where $k = \arg\max_k \alpha_k$

But neither $w$ nor $b$ are needed explicitly for classification!

$$f(x) = \sum \alpha_i y_i x_i^T x + b$$
Theoretical justification for maximum margins

- Vapnik has proved the following:

\[ h \leq \min \left\{ \left[ \frac{D^2}{\rho^2} \right], m_0 \right\} + 1 \]

where \( \rho \) is the margin, \( D \) is the diameter of the smallest sphere that can enclose all of the training examples, and \( m_0 \) is the dimensionality.

- Intuitively, this implies that regardless of dimensionality \( m_0 \) we can minimize the VC dimension by maximizing the margin \( \rho \).

- Thus, complexity of the classifier is kept small regardless of dimensionality.
Linear SVM: Overview

- The classifier is a *separating hyperplane*.

- Most “important” training points are support vectors; they define the hyperplane.

- Quadratic optimization algorithms can identify which training points \( \mathbf{x}_i \) are support vectors with non-zero Lagrangian multipliers \( \alpha_i \).

- Both in the dual formulation of the problem and in the solution training points appear only inside inner products:

\[
\text{Find } \alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_N \text{ such that } \\
Q(\alpha) = \sum \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum \sum \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j \text{ is maximized and} \\
(1) \sum \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\
(2) 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C \text{ for all } \alpha_i
\]

\[
f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}^T + b
\]
Non-linear SVMs

- Datasets that are linearly separable with some noise work out great:

- But what are we going to do if the dataset is just too hard?

- How about... mapping data to a higher-dimensional space:
Nonlinear classification

\[ x = [a, b] \]
\[ x \cdot w = w_1 a + w_2 b \]
\[ \theta(x) = [a, b, ab, a^2, b^2] \]
\[ \theta(x) \cdot w = w_1 a + w_2 b + w_3 ab + w_4 a^2 + w_5 b^2 \]
Non-linear SVMs: Feature spaces

- General idea: the original feature space can always be mapped to some higher-dimensional feature space where the training set is separable:

\[
\Phi: x \rightarrow \varphi(x)
\]
The “Kernel Trick”

- The linear classifier relies on inner product between vectors $K(x_i, x_j) = x_i^T x_j$

- If every datapoint is mapped into high-dimensional space via some transformation $\Phi$: $x \rightarrow \phi(x)$, the inner product becomes:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_j)$$

- A kernel function is some function that corresponds to an inner product into some feature space.

- Example:
  - 2-dimensional vectors $x = [x_1, x_2]$; let $K(x_i, x_j) = (1 + x_i^T x_j)^2$.
  - Need to show that $K(x_i, x_j) = \phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_j)$:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = (1 + x_i^T x_j)^2 = 1 + x_{i1}^2 x_{j1}^2 + 2 x_{i1} x_{j1} x_{i2} x_{j2} + x_{i2}^2 x_{j2}^2 + 2 x_{i1} x_{j1} + 2 x_{i2} x_{j2}$$

$$= [1, x_{i1} \sqrt{2} x_{i1} x_{i2}, x_{i2}^2 \sqrt{2} x_{i1} x_{j2} + x_{j2}]^T [1, x_{j1}^2 \sqrt{2} x_{j1} x_{j2}, x_{j2}^2 \sqrt{2} x_{j1} \sqrt{2} x_{j2}]$$

$$= \phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_j), \quad \text{where } \phi(x) = [1, x_1^2 \sqrt{2} x_1 x_2, x_2^2 \sqrt{2} x_1 \sqrt{2} x_2]$$
Positive definite matrices

• A square matrix $A$ is positive definite if $x^TAx > 0$ for all nonzero column vectors $x$.

• It is negative definite if $x^TAx < 0$ for all nonzero $x$.

• It is positive semi-definite if $x^TAx \geq 0$.

• And negative semi-definite if $x^TAx \leq 0$ for all $x$. 
What functions are kernels?

• For some functions $K(x_i, x_j)$ checking that $K(x_i, x_j) = \varphi(x_i)^T \varphi(x_j)$ can be cumbersome.

• Mercer’s theorem:

\[\text{Every semi-positive definite symmetric function is a kernel}\]

• Semi-positive definite symmetric functions correspond to a semi-positive definite symmetric Gram matrix:

\[
K = \begin{pmatrix}
K(x_1, x_1) & K(x_1, x_2) & K(x_1, x_3) & \cdots & K(x_1, x_N) \\
K(x_2, x_1) & K(x_2, x_2) & K(x_2, x_3) & & K(x_2, x_N) \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
K(x_N, x_1) & K(x_N, x_2) & K(x_N, x_3) & \cdots & K(x_N, x_N)
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Examples of kernel functions

- Linear: $K(x_i, x_j) = x_i^T x_j$

- Polynomial of power $p$: $K(x_i, x_j) = (1 + x_i^T x_j)^p$

- Gaussian (radial-basis function network): $K(x_i, x_j) = e^{-\|x_i - x_j\|^2 / 2\sigma^2}$

- Two-layer perceptron: $K(x_i, x_j) = \tanh(\beta_0 x_i^T x_j + \beta_1)$
Non-linear SVMs - optimization

- Dual problem formulation:

Find $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_N$ such that

\[ Q(\alpha) = \sum \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum \sum \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(x_i, x_j) \]

is maximized and

1. $\sum \alpha_i y_i = 0$
2. $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for all $\alpha_i$

- The solution is:

\[ f(x) = \sum \alpha_i y_i K(x_i, x_j) + b \]

- Optimization techniques for finding $\alpha_i$'s remain the same!
SVM applications

- SVMs were originally proposed by Boser, Guyon and Vapnik in 1992 and gained increasing popularity in late 1990s.

- SVMs are currently among the best performers for a number of classification tasks ranging from text to genomic data.

- SVM techniques have been extended to a number of tasks such as regression [Vapnik et al. ’97], principal component analysis [Schölkopf et al. ’99], etc.

- Most popular optimization algorithms for SVMs are SMO [Platt ’99] and SVM\textsuperscript{light} [Joachims’ 99], both use decomposition to hill-climb over a subset of $\alpha_i$’s at a time.

- Tuning SVMs remains a black art: selecting a specific kernel and parameters is usually done in a try-and-see manner.
SVM extensions

- Regression
- Variable Selection
- Boosting
- Density Estimation
- Unsupervised Learning
  - Novelty/Outlier Detection
  - Feature Detection
  - Clustering
Example in drug design

- Goal to predict bio-reactivity of molecules to decrease drug development time.

- Target is to predict the logarithm of inhibition concentration for site "A" on the Cholecystokinin (CCK) molecule.

- Constructs quantitative structure activity relationship (QSAR) model.
LCCKA problem

- Training data – 66 molecules
- 323 original attributes are wavelet coefficients of TAE Descriptors.
- 39 subset of attributes selected by linear 1-norm SVM (with no kernels).
- For details see DDASSL project link off of http://www.rpi.edu/~bennek
- Testing set results reported.
LCCK prediction

LCCKA Test Set Estimates

Predicted Value vs. True Value
Many other applications

- Speech Recognition
- Data Base Marketing
- Quark Flavors in High Energy Physics
- Dynamic Object Recognition
- Knock Detection in Engines
- Protein Sequence Problem
- Text Categorization
- Breast Cancer Diagnosis
- Cancer Tissue classification
- Translation initiation site recognition in DNA
- Protein fold recognition
One of the best!!

- Generalization theory and practice meet
- General methodology for many types of problems
- Same Program + New Kernel = New method
- No problems with local minima
- Few model parameters. Selects capacity
- Robust optimization methods
- Successful Applications
Open questions

- Will SVMs beat my best hand-tuned method Z for X?
- Do SVM scale to massive datasets?
- How to chose C and Kernel?
- What is the effect of attribute scaling?
- How to handle categorical variables?
- How to incorporate domain knowledge?
- How to interpret results?
Support Vector Machine Resources

- SVM Application List
  http://www.clopinet.com/isabelle/Projects/SVM/applist.html
- Kernel machines
  http://www.kernel-machines.org/
- Pattern Classification and Machine Learning
  http://clopinet.com/isabelle/#projects
- R a GUI language for statistical computing and graphics
  http://www.r-project.org/
- Kernel Methods for Pattern Analysis – 2004
  http://www.kernel-methods.net/
- An Introduction to Support Vector Machines
  (and other kernel-based learning methods)
  http://www.support-vector.net/
- Kristin P. Bennett web page
  http://www.rpi.edu/~bennek
- Isabelle Guyon's home page
  http://clopinet.com/isabelle